


## Microbes into atolls – Triassic carbonate production and accumulation in the Dolomites Wolfgang Schlager<sup>1</sup>

**Keywords:** carbonate factories, automicrite, mud mound

The vast majority of carbonate sedimentary rocks are marine in origin, precipitated from the dissolved load of the sea. The platforms in the Dolomites are no exception to this rule. Precipitation in the sea occurs either if evaporation is able to increase the salinity (and ion concentration) to levels where inorganic precipitation begins. For instance, at about 3 times normal salinity gypsum starts to precipitate, at 10 times normal salinity halite forms. An alternative pathway to precipitation opens up where organisms extract ions from seawater and precipitate minerals in their cells. An example are radiolarians and diatoms that form skeletons of opal (amorphous SiO<sub>2</sub>) even though the entire ocean is thermodynamically undersaturated with respect to this substance.

Marine carbonate precipitation follows either the abiotic or the biotic pathway. The biotic pathway is split into biotically induced and biotically controlled precipitation: Some organisms induce precipitation outside the cells without controlling the exact location, composition or shape of the precipitate; others operate like the radiolarians and diatoms, totally controlling the precipitation process in their cells. The biotically controlled precipitates are divided into products of autotrophic and heterotrophic organisms. Autotrophs use sunlight as energy source for growth, heterotrophs obtain energy from feeding on other organisms. Fig. 1 depicts the cascade of options realized in the marine carbonate world.




**Fig. 1:** Pathways of carbonate precipitation in aquatic environments – a cascade of options governed by the degree of biotic influence. Abiotic pathway is the default setting that kicks in when ion concentrations reach the level required for purely inorganic precipitation. After Schlager (2003, 2005), modified.


<sup>1</sup> Vrije Universiteit/Earth & Life Sciences, De Boelelaan 1085, 1081 HV Amsterdam, Netherlands

Summary of a talk and contributions to the field trips given at the VSP/ASP annual convention, Ortisei, Italy, June 2007.






**Fig. 3:** Proportions of abiotic, biotically induced and biotically controlled material in factory output estimated from the composition of some well-known Phanerozoic limestone formations. C factory consists almost entirely of one category, i. e. biotically controlled precipitates. M and T factories are mixtures of all three categories with M factory richer in bio-induced and abiotic material, T factory richer in biotically controlled material. After Schlager (2005), modified.




**Fig. 4:** Depth window of production and maximum growth rates of carbonate factories. Width of shaded bars represents estimated maximum growth rate expressed as a fraction of the T factory standard. Dominance of photo-autotrophic (i. e. light-dependent) organisms in the T factory leads to very high production rates but only in a narrow depth window. Production of the other factories is largely independent of light, the depth windows extend over hundreds of meters and their lower limits are poorly known. In modern oceans, production by the M factory is low at shallow depths, probably because of competition by the T factory. Based on Schlager (2005).





**Fig. 5:** Accumulation geometries of the factories reflect the differences in environmental setting and sediment composition. Flat platforms, sharp shelf breaks and steep slopes characterize the T factory. Raised rim and empty lagoon, the «empty bucket» is a hallmark of the T system under stress. Cool-water accumulations (C factory) show sea-ward sloping shelves and relatively gentle slopes, occasionally with minor reef structures. Geometries of the M factory are highly variable. Groups of upward-convex mounds are most common. Flat-topped mounds and proper platforms develop where mud-mound production extends into the shallow, wave-swept environments. Schlager (2003), modified.

trolled material, is but a minor constituent. The major building material of the platforms in the Dolomites turned out to be autochthonous micrite or «automicrite» (Fig. 6). This term denotes fine-grained carbonate that can be shown to have lithified at the place of origin. Judging from chemical indicators and the similarity with modern examples, precipitation probably was induced by bacteria and other microbes (Blendinger 1994, Russo et al. 1997). Quantitative studies by Keim & Schlager (1999, 2001) indicate that the dom-

inance of biotically induced micrite (and abiotic cement) holds for all major environments – the platform interior, the margin and the upper slope. Thus, most of the platforms in the Dolomites were produced by the M factory rather than the T factory. Compositionally, the Dolomites platforms are close relatives of Paleozoic mud-mounds. They resemble reef-rimmed platforms of the T factory only with respect to overall geometry and facies zonation. Like modern platforms of the tropics, the



**Fig. 6:** Thin section of automicrite facies. Clotted and pelleted automicrite (dark) provides rigid framework supporting primary pores (light colored) that are partly filled by cement. (Long side = 4 mm). Triassic, Malignon Hut, Dolomites. Sample courtesy of L. Keim.



**Fig. 7:** Effect of sediment grain size on morphology of submarine slopes. A) Slope of extant platform dominated by muddy, cohesive sediment. Note low slope angle and indications of slumping. Line drawing from seismic profile, northern flank of Little Bahama Bank. B). Prograding carbonate platform slope composed of sand, rubble and over 50% of automicrite lenses and layers. Despite its abundance, automicrite was not able to build morphologically significant mounds; slope morphology is controlled by the angle of repose of the detrital material. Note similarity of Triassic slope angles with angle of modern scree, also composed of rubble and sand (difference between subaerial and subaquatic angles of repose of coarse material is very small). After Kenter (1990) and Schlager (2005), modified.

buildups of the Dolomites have flat tops built to sea level and a rigid platform rim. Unlike modern atolls, the rim is stabilized by automicrite and abiotic cement rather than coral reefs. The platforms are flanked by steep slopes where layers of sand and rubble alternate with lenses of automicrite formed in-situ. The steep, planar bedding surfaces often dip close to the angle of repose of sand and rubble (Fig. 7). Thus, deposition of coarse debris controlled slope geometry. The contribution of automicrite to the slopes was volumetrically significant but its effect on slope geometry was minor. The platforms in the Dolomites closely resemble the Late-Devonian (Famennian) platform of the Canning Basin in Western Australia (Playford et al. 1989). There, the luxurious growth of reefs was abruptly terminated at the Frasnian-Famennian boundary by a mass extinction of carbonate biota. The Famennian carbonates assumed the geometry of the Frasnian forerunner but the production switched from the T factory to the M factory. Unlike the Australian example, the reason for the dominance of the M factory in the Dolomites remains unknown.

## References

- Blendinger, W. 1994: The carbonate factory of Middle Triassic buildups in the Dolomites, Italy: a quantitative analysis. *Sedimentology* 41, 1147-1159.
- Keim, L. & Schlager, W. 1999: Automicrite facies on steep slopes (Triassic, Dolomites, Italy). *Facies*, 41, 15-26.
- Keim, L. & Schlager, W. 2001: Quantitative compositional analyses of a Triassic carbonate platform (Southern Alps, Italy). *Sedim. Geol.* 139, 261-283.
- Leonardi, P. 1967: *Le Dolomiti. Geologia dei monti tra Isarco e Piave*. Edizioni Manfrini, Rovereto, 1019 pp.
- Kenter, J.A.M. 1990: Carbonate platform flanks: slope angle and sediment fabric. *Sedimentology* 37, 777-794.
- Mojsisovics, E.v. 1879: *Die Dolomit-Riffe von Südtirol und Venetien. Beiträge zur Bildungsgeschichte der Alpen*. A. Hölder, Vienna, 551 pp.
- Playford, P.E., Hurley, N.F., Kerans, C. & Middleton, M.F. 1989: Reefal platform development, Devonian of the Canning Basin, Western Australia. In: P.D. Crevello, J.L. Wilson, J.F. Sarg and J.F. Read (Editors): *Controls on Carbonate Platform and Basin Development*. *SEPM Sp. Publ.* 44, 187-202.
- Russo, F., Neri, C., Mastandrea, A. & Baracca, A. 1997: The mud-mound nature of the Cassian platform margins of the Dolomites. A case history: the Cipit boulders from Punta Grohmann (Sasso Piatto Massif, northern Italy). *Facies* 36, 25-36.
- Schlager, W. 2003: Benthic carbonate factories of the Phanerozoic. *Int. Journ. Earth Sci.* 92, 445-464.
- Schlager, W. 2005: Carbonate sedimentology and sequence stratigraphy. *SEPM Concepts Sedimentol. Paleontol.* 8. Society for Sedimentary Geology, Tulsa, 208 pp.